

English version

VHDL Modelling Guidelines

Simulation and Documentation Aspects

This CENELEC Report is under preparation and review by the Technical Committee CENELEC TC 217 Working Group 2.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardisation
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart 35, B-1050 Brussels

Page intentionally left blank

FOREWORD

This Technical Report is the first draft of the CENELEC TC217/WG report 2.14. The report is derived from the European Space Agency's (ESA's) *VHDL Modelling Guidelines*, reference ASIC/001 issue 1, dated September 1994. This draft has been prepared taking into account comments from CENELEC WG2 members presented on the dedicated e-mail reflector. The author would like to thank all contributors for their valuable input.

The ESA *VHDL Modelling Guidelines* have been used in ESA development and study contracts to ensure high-quality maintainable VHDL models. They have been prepared by Peter Sinander with support from Sandi Habinc, both at the ESA/ESTEC Microelectronics and Technology Section (WSM), PO Box 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands. The ESA *VHDL Modelling Guidelines* are based on the experience gained from ESA contracts in this area during several years, feedback from the contracting companies working for ESA, and various information found in articles, non-VHDL coding guidelines and on the Internet.

Page intentionally left blank

Table of contents

1	INTRODUCTION	7
1.1	Purpose and scope	7
1.2	Applicable Documents	7
1.3	Reference Documents	7
2	REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL KINDS OF MODELS	8
2.1	General	8
2.2	Names	9
2.3	Comments	9
2.4	Types	10
2.5	Files	11
2.6	Signals and ports	11
2.7	Assertions and reporting	12
2.8	Subprograms, processes, entities, architectures, component declarations	13
2.9	Configurations	13
2.10	Packages	13
2.11	Design libraries	14
2.12	Constructs to be avoided	15
2.13	Verification	16
2.14	File organisation	17
3	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	19
3.1	Models for Component simulation	19
3.1.1	Names	19
3.1.2	Types	19
3.1.3	Model interface	19
3.2	Models for Board-level simulation	21
3.2.1	Names	21
3.2.2	Model interface	22
3.2.3	Handling of unknown values	22
3.2.4	Timing	23
3.2.5	Reporting	24
3.2.6	Verification	24
3.3	Models for System-level simulation	25
3.3.1	Model interface	25
3.3.2	Verification	25
3.4	Testbenches	26
3.4.1	Automated verification	26
	APPENDIX A: ABBREVIATIONS	27
	APPENDIX B: COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN VHDL-87 AND VHDL-93	28
	APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF VHDL LINE COVERAGE	29
	APPENDIX D: VHDL CODE EXAMPLES	30
	APPENDIX E: SELECTION OF SIMULATION CONDITION	54

Page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and scope

This document defines requirements on VHDL models and testbenches. It concerns simulation and documentation aspects of VHDL models; specific aspects for logic synthesis from VHDL have not been included. Nevertheless, the requirements of this document are compatible with the use of logic synthesis. The document is focused on digital models; specific requirements for analog modelling have not been covered. The requirements are not applicable for the case when a design database is transferred in VHDL format as a netlist. The requirements are targeted to the finalised models rather than the models during the development.

The purpose of these requirements is to ensure a high quality of the developed VHDL models, so they can be efficiently used and maintained with a low effort throughout the full life-cycle of the modelled hardware.

The requirements are based on the VHDL-93 standard, to minimise future maintenance efforts for updating models. However, it is recommended to keep the models backward compatible with VHDL-87 as far as possible, since some tools have not been updated.

The requirements have been structured in a general part applicable to all VHDL models, and additional requirements applicable to different kinds of models: Component Simulation, Board-level simulation and System-level simulation. In addition, VHDL code examples have been included to provide some guidance to the VHDL developer.

1.2 Applicable Documents

- AD1 IEEE Standard VHDL Language Reference Manual, IEEE Std 1076-1993, 6 June 1994
- AD2 IEEE Standard Multivalued Logic System for VHDL Model Interoperability (std_logic_1164), IEEE Std 1164-1993, 26 May 1993

1.3 Reference Documents

- RD1 IEEE Standard VHDL Language Reference Manual, IEEE Std 1076-1987, 31 March 1988
- RD2 IEEE Standards Interpretations: IEEE Standard VHDL Language Reference Manual, IEEE Std 1076/INT-1991, or latest version
- RD3 Standard VITAL ASIC Modeling Specification, IEEE Std 1076.4-1995
- RD4 Standard VHDL Mathematical Packages, IEEE Std P1076.2
- RD5 Standard VHDL Synthesis Packages, IEEE Std P1076.3

2 REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL KINDS OF MODELS

2.1 General

The models shall be written in VHDL-93 as defined in AD1. All code shall be written with the intent to be simulator independent (as far as possible, using all available information); the use of non-standard constructs or supersets is not allowed. Note that the code is not necessarily correct just because it compiles and executes on one simulator without errors; many tools do not detect all possible errors. In case of ambiguities the interpretations in RD2 shall have precedence.

The models shall be coded so run-time errors can never occur due to model itself, such as division by zero, range error etc.

All models shall be compliant with VHDL-93 as defined in AD1. To allow backward compatibility with VHDL-87, the VHDL code shall as far as possible also be compliant with RD1. The benefits of using the new features of VHDL-93 should be assessed before being employed.

All documentation, identifiers, comments, messages, file names etc. should use or be based on the English language. Exceptionally, models only intended for local or national usage for the foreseeable future, might use the local language when beneficial.

The code shall be consistent in writing style and naming conventions. The VHDL reserved words shall appear in uniform casing; they shall either all appear in lower-case, or all appear in upper-case. It is recommended to write identifiers using mixed casing. The same, consistent casing shall be used in all the code of a model, with the exception of standard packages.

The code shall be concise and use the most straightforward and intuitive constructs. Using more code than necessary leads to poorer readability and lower simulation speed. Wherever possible, unused parts of the code shall be removed. Temporary assignments should not be used unless necessary.

The code shall emphasise good readability. It shall contain maximum one statement per line, and have maximum 80 characters per line. The code shall be properly indented, for example using 3 *space* characters; the indentation shall be the same in all the code. The *TAB* character shall not be used, being environment dependent. Related constructs should be grouped together, and these groups should be separated e.g. using blank lines or lines made of dashes where this increases the readability. Identifiers, comments etc. should be aligned vertically where this improves the readability.

Automatically generated VHDL models, for example from schematics or from State Machine diagrams often have poor readability. Before deciding to use automatically generated code in a particular case, the interoperability and maintenance aspects need be assessed, as the master design description will then be in the internal format of the tool used, requiring all interacting users to have the same tool. Furthermore, the long term availability of tools being able to read this particular format should be evaluated.

2.2 Names

Meaningful non-cryptic identifier names shall be used, based on the English language. Exceptionally, models only intended for local or national usage for the foreseeable future, might use the local language when beneficial. The same identifier name as for the actual hardware and as in the data sheet or similar documentation shall be used. For signals and variables that are active low, this shall be clearly indicated by their name, for example by suffixing *_N* as in *Reset_N*. In case a name would not be legal VHDL, it should be close to the original name and a comment should be included for clarification. The VHDL-93 extended identifiers (any string enclosed by two `\` characters) should be used with precaution (see also section 2.1 on the usage of the new features of VHDL-93).

A name should indicate the purpose of the object and not its type. Example: an eight-bit loadable counter used for addressing should be called *AddressCounter* (its purpose) rather than *CountLoad8* (its type).

The naming convention (e.g. how active low and internal signals are indicated, if registers are indicated with a special suffix etc.) should be documented in the file header for each file.

It is recommended to write identifiers using mixed casing, with consistent casing in all the code. Underscore characters may be used, though excessive usage – such as multiple occurrences in one identifier – should be avoided. It is recommended to use less than 15 characters in the normal case, though the number of characters used for an identifier shall never exceed 28, due to an NFS limitation for file names.

The VHDL name of the predefined identifiers, including the identifiers in the *Std* and *IEEE* design libraries, shall never be used for other identifiers. Note for example the form-feed character *FF* and the *Time* unit *Min*.

A constant value should generally be specified using a constant rather than using a specified value. In particular, when the same constant value or a value derived from a constant appear more than once in a model, constants shall be used.

Where constant values depend on the characteristics of a specific type, such as the length of an **array**, the predefined attributes, such as *Length*, shall be used instead of a specified value. This makes the code less dependent on the characteristics of the particular type used.

2.3 Comments

The purpose of comments is to allow the function of a model, package or testbench to be understood by a designer not involved in the development of the VHDL code.

All models shall be fully documented with explanatory comments in English. Exceptionally, models only intended for local or national usage for the foreseeable future, might use the local language when beneficial. The comments shall be placed close to the part of the code they describe; a description only in the file header without comments in the executable part is not acceptable. All comments shall be indented and aligned for good readability. The comments shall be descriptive and not just direct translations or repetitions of the VHDL code.

Each file shall include a header, as a minimum containing the following information:

- Name of the design unit(s) in the file;
- File name;
- Purpose of the code, description of hardware or functionality modelled;
- Limitations to the model and known errors, if any, including any assumptions made;
- Design library where the code is intended to be compiled in;
- List of all analysis dependencies, if any;
- Naming convention;
- Author(s) including full address;
- Simulator(s), simulator version(s) and platform(s) used;
- Change list, containing version numbers, author(s), the dates and a description of all changes performed.

Each subprogram declaration, subprogram, process, block etc. shall be immediately preceded by a description of its function, including any limitations and assumptions. For subprograms, the parameters and the result shall also be described.

For port and generic clauses in entity and component declarations, there shall be one signal declaration per line, directly followed by a comment describing the signal. Describing the signals in a group of comments separate from the declarations themselves are not recommended, being likely to become inconsistent in case of modification.

Where functionality is represented by data, as for example microcode or a PLA fuse-map program, the functionality shall be fully described. This applies regardless of the data representation (e.g. hard-coded constants or data read from an ASCII file).

2.4 Types

The leftmost bit of an array shall be the most significant, regardless of the bit ordering. Example: In *Bit_Vector(0 to 15)*, bit 0 is the Most Significant Bit (MSB), whereas in *Bit_Vector(15 downto 0)*, bit 0 is the Least Significant Bit (LSB).

It is recommended to write the code so it is possible to change the type of a signal or variable without changing the simulation behaviour. This implies:

- Avoid relying on default initialisation of a variable or a signal unless a reset policy ensures that the model is explicitly initialised (typical for synthesizable constructs);
- Avoid relying on the number of type values in a type declaration;
- Avoid dependencies on the order in the type declaration.

Real literals shall only be written in decimal format. Based literals shall only be specified in base 2, 8, 10 or 16, and should not have an exponent. The use of underscore characters in literals should be restricted to binary, octal and hexadecimal literals. Hexadecimal literals shall be written using uppercase characters, for example *16#9ABC#*.

2.5 Files

For portability reasons the only allowed file type is *Std.TextIO.Text*. However, it should be noted that there are still certain variances, such as (see further AD1 section 14.3):

- Line delimiters might not be readable, and therefore characters with a lower rank than the *space* character should be avoided;
- *Underline* character(s) and/or an exponent may be absent or present when writing values of the *Integer*, *Real* and *Time* types;
- The casing of the identifier when writing values of the *Boolean* type may vary.

Consequently, in case values of the *Boolean*, *Integer*, *Real* or *Time* types are written using *Std.TextIO*, the possible impact on portability should be analysed. The same applies when characters with lower rank than the *space* character is read from a file.

The predefined file *Std.TextIO.Input* should be avoided, since its implementation on different simulators varies. In particular, it shall never be used in testbenches for automated verification, since this could preclude the verification to be performed using a script. Also note that assertions may be output to the *Std.TextIO.Output* file by some simulators, whereas others might output them to a separate file.

When data is to be read from a text file, e.g. for initialising a memory, the format of the file shall be fully and clearly specified in the VHDL code implementing the reading function. An example should also be included.

It is recommended to limit the number of characters per line in a file to be read to 80 characters. In any case, it shall never exceed 255 characters.

2.6 Signals and ports

The same name shall be used for a signal throughout all levels of the model, wherever possible. In cases where exactly the same name cannot be used, e.g. when two identical sub-components have been instantiated, names derived from the same base name should be used.

The index ordering (i.e. using **to** or **downto**) of the model top-level entity port clause signals shall be identical to the one used in the data sheet or similar documentation. It is recommended to use the same index ordering in the whole model, but in case the index order is reversed within the model, this shall be clearly marked every time the index order is different w.r.t. the corresponding signal at the highest level of the hierarchy.

The **buffer** mode shall never appear in the port clause of the model's top-level entity declaration, since ports of this mode have restrictions on the mode of other ports associated to this port.

The port clause signal declarations shall appear in a logical order. It is recommended to order the signals in the port clause after their mode; first input signals, followed by bi-directional signals and last output signals. Alternatively, the signals could be grouped together according to their function, and within each such group according to their mode. Port clauses shall be commented as specified in section 2.3.

Port maps for component instantiations shall use named association, unless all signals in the component instantiation have the same (or derived) name as in the component declaration. The same applies to generic maps where increasing the readability.

Duplicating a signal by assignment to another signal only to rename the signal, to allow another port mode to be used or to perform a type conversion should only be used where necessary or where clearly increasing the readability.

2.7 Assertions and reporting

Assertions shall be used to report model errors, timing violations and when signals have illegal or unknown values affecting the model behaviour. The following policy for assigning severity levels is recommended:

- *Failure*: Errors in the model itself (e.g. if a statement that is believed to be impossible to execute actually is executed);
- *Error*: Timing violations and invalid data affecting the control state of the model, including illegal combinations of mode signals and of control signals (e.g. unknown data on a mode input or too short reset time);
- *Warning*: Timing violations and invalid data not affecting the control state of the model, but which could affect the simulation behaviour of the model (e.g. if data to be sent out from an interface is invalid);
- *Note*: Essential information that is not classified in the other severity levels, such as reporting from which text file data is read, which testbench is executed, if an event is detected on an input signal whose function has not been implemented (e.g. activation of production test) etc.

A model should not issue assertions for insignificant events, for example at start, during reset or if an event has no impact on the simulation behaviour. Neither should unnecessary messages be generated, e.g. as reporting if Worst Case timing has been selected, since many insignificant messages will hide the important ones.

The assertion report shall give a clear description of the reason for the assertion, and shall include the hierarchical path to the instance or package, as well as identifying the signal(s) where applicable. It is sufficient to report the hierarchical path relative to the top-level entity of the model before VHDL-93 has been fully introduced, then the predefined attribute *Instance_Name* should be used.

Reporting using *Std.TextIO.Output* instead of assertions gives shorter messages, by eliminating information such as assertion level, time etc. In cases where such additional information is not needed, *Std.TextIO.Output* could be used, e.g. for reporting operating modes, for disassembly and for testbenches. In such case the model instance path should be inserted at the beginning of the message.

2.8 Subprograms, processes, entities, architectures, component declarations

All processes shall be associated with a descriptive label. The same applies for other concurrent statements where this will increase the readability.

A process with only one statement of the type "**wait on** *SignalName*;" – typical for synthesizable processes – should use a process sensitivity list instead of the **wait on** statement to increase the readability.

Wherever possible, all language constructs such as subprograms, package declarations and bodies, entities, architectures, processes and **loop** statements shall be qualified, i.e. the identifier associated with the construct shall also appear at its end.

Procedures that modify signals or variables not passed as parameters in the procedure call should be avoided. Nevertheless, in some cases such as testbenches, this technique could actually increase the readability of the code, by hiding insignificant details. If used, it shall be clearly commented which signals and variables can be modified by the procedure call.

The top-level entity should have the same name as the device or hardware modelled. Declarations other than assertion statements, generic and port clauses should be avoided in an entity declaration, in order to make a clear distinction between the model interface (i.e. the entity) and the model functionality or connectivity (i.e. the architecture).

The identifier, port clause and generic clause of a component declaration shall be identical (i.e. use the same identifiers and the same ordering) to the declarations in the corresponding entity declaration.

2.9 Configurations

All design units being part of a model which should not be reconfigured or separated (such as all design units being part of a model of a standard component) shall be directly instantiated in the architecture(s) of the model. It should not be possible to reconfigure them using a configuration specification.

For design units intended for reconfiguration, there shall be no configuration specifications within the design units themselves, since it would then not be possible to reconfigure the model using a configuration specification.

2.10 Packages

Where possible, packages approved by the IEEE should be used rather than redeveloping similar functionality, in order to reduce development cost as well as the number of errors in the packages and to allow speed optimised versions to be provided with the VHDL simulators (see AD2, RD3, RD4, RD5). In case a package is used before IEEE approval it shall be placed in the same design library as the model itself, and not be in the *IEEE* library.

Packages specific to a particular CAD tool should only be used when unavoidable. In particular, any source code distribution restrictions should be assessed, if applicable.

The number of packages used by a model shall not be excessive. There shall be no empty or almost empty packages, unless where this clearly increases code readability. It is recommended to place VHDL code concerning different functionality areas in different packages, e.g. all timing parameters in one package, all subprograms related to timing in another etc. However, there should not be a separate package for each entity where constants etc. used by that entity are defined.

The package declaration shall contain full documentation about the declared types, constants, subprograms etc.

The declarations in a package body shall appear in the same order as the corresponding declarations in the package declaration.

Each package containing one or more subprograms – except packages approved by the IEEE – shall be separately and extensively verified as specified in section 2.13, using a testbench allowing automated verification as described in section 3.4.1.

2.11 Design libraries

The model design units shall be placed in a design library other than *Work*. This will normally be a separate design library for each model, though families of devices, such as 74-series logic or a collection of different memories, are preferably grouped together in one design library.

This design library shall be named after the device, respectively the family, with the suffix *Lib* appended. The top-level entity to be used for simulation shall have the same name as the device. Example: a device *XYZ* should be placed in the library *XYZ_Lib*, and should be used as *XYZ_Lib.XYZ*. The situation when the same name is used in different developments should be avoided, by not using generic names (larger risk for duplication), and where possible investigate already known names.

This design library shall contain all design units used by the model itself (including packages), except for the packages in design library *Std*, the packages in the *IEEE* design library, and common packages used by many different models. Major criteria to decide whether or not a package is to be regarded as common are standardisation by an international organisation such as the IEEE and international acceptance as a defacto standard.

The testbench(es) used for the verification of the device shall be placed in a design library different from the device design library, such as *XYZ_TB_Lib* or *Work*. This design library should contain all hierarchical sub-components and packages used, except the model to be tested (already being in a separate library) and standard and common packages as defined above.

The *IEEE* design library shall not contain any other packages than those approved by the IEEE. Neither shall these packages be modified or extended. Some CAD companies may place own defined packages in the *IEEE* design library. In case such a package is used, it shall be moved to the design library where it is used.

2.12 Constructs to be avoided

The VHDL code shall be fully deterministic when executed regardless of the simulator used. This means for example:

- There shall be no communication between different parts of the model through files;
- Resolution functions shall always be commutative and associative;
- Shared variables (VHDL-93) shall only be used when absolutely necessary. It shall then be proven by analysis that the usage is fully deterministic, which should be documented;
- Care should be taken when using floating point values, especially conversion to and from floating point values, comparisons between floating point values and events on floating point values. Specifically, using pseudo-random test patterns is not portable if the pseudo-random generator is using the *Real* type;
- The *Std.TextIO* portability limitations shall be avoided, see further section 2.5.

Refer to appendix C of AD1 for more information.

CAD tool specific types shall not be used. Features specific to an operating system, such as links and the */dev/null* file on Unix systems, should be avoided. Absolute paths shall not be used for filenames.

Objects with an implicitly declared index, for example a line returned from the *Std.TextIO.Read* procedure for a string, shall never be used with absolute indexing. Instead the predefined attributes for indexing, such as *Left*, shall be used. As a consequence absolute indexing shall be used when declaring an object to be referenced using an absolute index.

The dependence on implementation defined limitations, for example 32-bit limitations on the *Integer* and *Time* types, shall be minimised. In particular, a model should not encounter implementation defined limitations on *Time* as long as the simulated time does not exceed the limitation.

Subprograms and components should not be renamed by encapsulating them with subprograms or components with other names unless where this clearly increases the readability.

Signals, variables, constants, subprograms or components shall not be hidden by declaring another object with the same name. Overloading is not considered as hiding, and is encouraged where beneficial.

The predefined operators, subprograms, attributes etc. shall never be redefined. This shall also apply to the packages in the *IEEE* design library. Neither shall similar declarations using the same names be created.

Since the model shall be placed in another design library than *Work*, there shall be no references to *Work* within the code for the model and its packages.

The constructs below are considered as obsolescent. Being not strictly necessary to use for modelling, they should therefore not be used:

- Guarded expressions, signals and assignments, including the reserved words **bus**, **disconnect**, **guarded**, **register**;
- The **linkage** mode for interface declarations;
- The *Allowed Replacement Characters* defined in section 13.10 of AD1;
- The *Std.TextIO.EndLine* function, $L'Length = 0$ could be used instead (*EndLine* has been excluded from VHDL-87 being illegal VHDL);
- File types other than *Std.TextIO.Text*.

2.13 Verification

The purpose of the verification shall be to verify that the developed model is correct, with few or no errors being found. It shall not be a means to locate errors in the VHDL code in order to patch them.

A model or package shall first be verified by its developer, being the person with the best knowledge of its function. The final verification shall be performed by somebody not involved in the creation of that model or package, to avoid that a misunderstanding of the functionality is masked by the same misunderstanding in the verification.

In case another simulation model is available, the VHDL model should also be verified versus this other model, regardless whether or not the other model is a VHDL model.

The verification shall solely be performed using VHDL testbenches as specified in section 3.4, no simulator specific features or commands shall be used.

The verification shall encompass the full functionality, including all assertions and error messages. As a minimum requirement every executable line of the model shall be executed, which shall be proven and documented (see Appendix C). Furthermore, the robustness of the model shall be verified using boundary conditions, error cases and unexpected input stimuli (i.e. stimuli not adhering to protocols etc. used by the model).

Subprograms in packages for general usage (more than one model) shall be verified for all possible boundary conditions and singularities. This shall include unknown and not initialised values, as well as ascending, descending and invalid ranges, and null arrays. Each such package (except IEEE approved packages) shall be fully verified by its corresponding separate testbench. The same applies for parameterizable design units.

The verification results shall be presented in a verification compliance matrix for each VHDL model and package, clearly describing each test and its extent, when, how and by whom it was performed and the result. In addition, any discrepancies from the specifications shall be clearly identified.

2.14 File organisation

During the development of a model it is recommended to use separate files for each design unit. In cases where the entity declaration is minimal, such as in testbenches, it can be practical to pair associated design units (entity with corresponding architecture, package declaration with corresponding package body). The same could apply where it is desired to reduce the number of files during the development, and there is little analysis and simulation penalty for keeping design units in pairs.

After a model development has been completed it can be beneficial to use a single file for all design units in a design library. Typical cases are for model distribution, long term storage, and in case of model maintenance with few changes over a long time period. In this case there would be one file for the model design library, and one file for the testbench design library. Each such file should contain all design units of the respective design library, as specified in section 2.11, in a correct compilation order as follows:

- Top-level entity;
- All packages;
- Remaining entities and architectures in pairs;
- The top-level architecture.

The headers of each design unit shall be included in the file. The header of the entire file could be derived from the header of the top-level entity.

To avoid potential inconsistency problems only one file organisation approach shall be used at any one time.

Each VHDL code file shall be named exactly after its design unit, and shall have a *.vhd* or a *.vhdl* suffix. The uniqueness of a filename shall not depend on case sensitivity. The following naming scheme is suggested:

- For entities, the entity name followed by *-ent*, i.e. the entity *ClockGen* should be placed in *clockgen-ent.vhd*;
- For architectures, the entity name followed by the architecture name, i.e. the architecture *RTL* of the above entity should be placed in *clockgen-rtl.vhd*;
- For package declarations, the package name followed by *-pkg*, i.e. the package declaration *Numeric_Std* should be placed in *numeric_std-pkg.vhd*;
- For package body, the package name followed by *-body*, i.e. the package body for package *Numeric_Std* should be placed in *numeric_std-body.vhd*;
- For configurations, the entity name followed by the architecture name and *-conf*, i.e. the configuration for *ClockGen(RTL)* should be placed in *clockgen-rtl-conf.vhd*.

If there is more than one design unit in a file the following naming is suggested:

- For an entity-architecture pair, the entity name, e.g. *clockgen.vhd*;
- For a package declaration with body, the package name, e.g. *numeric_std.vhd*;
- For a complete design library, the library name, i.e. the design library *XYZ_Lib* should be placed in *xyz_lib.vhd*.

Any files associated with the code, such as initialisation files read by *Std.TextIO*, shall be placed in the same directory as the VHDL code.

There shall be a script file for each design library which when executed compiles all design units of a design library. For models having testbenches employing automated verification there shall also be a script file performing the full verification. The scripts shall be executable under a standard *Unix sh* or *csh* shell, and could for example be a *make* file.

In case automated verification is to be performed by writing an ASCII file to be compared with a reference file, such reference files shall be stored separately to avoid being overwritten by a simulation. It is recommended to store such files in a separate directory, for example named *ref_files*.

3 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Models for Component simulation

The main purpose of a model for component simulation is to be used for verification of a component under development, before proceeding with the manufacture. This implies that the model should exactly reflect the structure and functions of the underlying hardware; accuracy being more important than simulation speed. The model should have correct timing characteristics, at least using estimated (e.g. pre-layout) values for timing parameters.

The model can be on the gate level or on the Register Transfer level. Phenomena such as EMC, transmission line effects etc. need not be modelled.

In case a model for board-level simulation shall be developed, the same entity declarations shall then be used for both models (i.e. the model for Component simulation will be represented by one architecture, and the model for Board-level simulation by another architecture).

An accurate block diagram showing the relationship between different VHDL modules, their input and output signals etc. shall be created. It is suggested not to mix structural and behavioural descriptions within the same architecture.

3.1.1 Names

The model structure and naming convention shall be the same as for all other design documentation, including the data sheet. It is recommended to use an architecture name reflecting the level of the description, such as *GateLevel* or *RTL* for the architecture associated with the top-level entity.

3.1.2 Types

The VHDL predefined types such as *Bit*, *Bit_Vector*, *Boolean* and *Integer*, together with the types defined in the *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* package are preferred. For Finite State Machines, the states could be represented by constants of type *Bit_Vector* or *Std_ULogic_Vector*, or by enumerated types.

3.1.3 Model interface

The preferred types for the model interface are *Std_Logic* and *Std_Logic_Vector* from the *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* package for digital signals. The *Bit* and *Bit_Vector* types may also be used, but no other types are allowed. In the case of analog signals, the *Real* type is suggested until the analog VHDL standard has been established.

Global signals shall not be used; all signals of the component shall be specified in the top-level entity port clause, also including signals whose functions have not been modelled, such as signals activating specific test modes etc. Power pins and unconnected pins need not be included. The model interface should only include signals actually present on the component.

It is recommended that the top-level entity declaration is not preceded by any other library and use clauses than necessary for defining the interface signals (i.e. *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164*) and the generics such as for timing.

3.2 Models for Board-level simulation

The main purpose of a model for Board-level simulation is to be used for the verification of a board using the component, normally together with several other components. This can be seen as the simulation version of breadboarding. This implies that the model must have acceptable simulation speed, but only need to model the functionality possibly affecting the board and the other models. The model should be on the Register Transfer level or higher, a gate level description is not acceptable for performance reasons. The model need necessarily not reflect the actual internal structure of the component.

The model behaviour shall include the full functionality, though specific test modes only used during manufacturing test need not be implemented (activation should then be reported as specified in section 2.7). The interface signals shall have the correct digital waveform behaviour as can be observed at the interfaces of the components. Timing shall be modelled for the interface, including checking violations on inputs and assigning output delays.

The model shall be coded for efficient simulation w.r.t. simulation time. This implies that the number of processes, signals and signal assignments shall be minimised, due to their negative impact on the simulation speed. There should not be more design entities than there are blocks in the architectural block diagram. Where possible variables should be used instead of signals. Resolved signals should be avoided where not functional. By using types on higher abstraction levels – e.g. *Integer* instead of *Bit_Vector* – models with higher simulation speed will be obtained in most cases. It should be avoided to execute statements when not necessary.

The memory usage shall be optimised when necessary, e.g. when modelling memory devices, since otherwise simulation could be impossible due to the memory requirements of the simulator. One technique could be to divide the memory area into a number of blocks, which would be allocated only when used.

It is suggested to model the timing and handling of unknowns in the top-level architecture, to separate this from the actual functionality of the model.

The model should avoid reading files, since this complicates model distribution and usage.

A block diagram showing the relationship between different VHDL modules, their input and output signals etc. should be created. A User's Manual shall be written, allowing a Board-level designer not involved in the model development to efficiently use the model(s) to perform Board-level simulation without needing the VHDL source code.

3.2.1 Names

The model naming convention shall be the same as for all other design descriptions, in particular the data sheet. The architecture associated with the top-level entity should be called *BoardLevel*.

3.2.2 Model interface

The types used for the model interface shall be *Std_Logic* and *Std_Logic_Vector* from the *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* package, no other types are allowed for digital signals. In the case of analog signals, the *Real* type is suggested until the analog VHDL standard has been established.

Pull-up and pull-down on inputs and outputs shall be correctly modelled; the *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* values 'L' and 'H' on an input shall result in the same simulation response as the values '0' and '1', respectively. The *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* values 'L', 'H' and 'W' shall only appear on outputs having weak drivers for those states.

Global signals shall not be used; all signals of the component shall be specified in the top-level entity port clause, also including signals whose functions have not been modelled, such as signals activating specific test modes etc. Power pins and unconnected pins need not be included. The model interface shall only include signals actually present on the component.

The top-level entity declaration should not be preceded by any other library and use clauses than necessary for defining the interface signals and generics. It is recommended to not employ user-defined subtypes in the port clause, since this will require that the board designer must reference the local package of the model where the subtype is declared. With many different components in a board design this would become cumbersome.

3.2.3 Handling of unknown values

As a baseline, for combinational functionality (i.e. with no memory) unknown values shall be propagated without an error message being generated.

For functionality with memory, handling of unknown values (X-handling) may be limited to reporting using assertions. If propagation of unknown values is implemented, it should only apply to data not affecting the controlling state of the model. In both cases assertions shall be issued for unknown values that would affect the simulation behaviour; insignificant occurrences should not be reported.

The handling of unknown values should be documented in the header of the top-level entity and in the User's Manual.

The *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* values 'U', 'Z', 'W' and '-' on an input shall result in the same simulation response as the value 'X', though propagation of the uninitialised value 'U' should be considered for combinational functionality. Models that have not been initialised, and parts thereof, shall produce the *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* value 'U' when accessed. The '-' value shall never appear on an output.

3.2.4 Timing

All inputs shall be checked w.r.t. period, pulse width, setup time and hold time as applicable, and all significant violations shall be reported using assertions. Violations that would not affect the simulation behaviour should not be reported. All outputs shall be assigned output delays, including tristate modelling. The timing shall be correctly modelled w.r.t. the internal or external signals generating the change of the signal.

All timing parameters shall have the simulation condition selectable between Worst Case, Typical Case or Best Case timing, controlled by a generic parameter *SimCondition* of type *SimConditionType* as defined in the *Simulation* package (see appendix E), with the default simulation condition being Worst Case. The simulation conditions for CMOS processes are defined as follows:

- Worst Case: The timing at the lowest voltage (e.g. 4.5 Volt), highest temperature (e.g. 125 °C) and slowest process characteristics;
- Typical Case: The timing at the nominal voltage (e.g. 5.0 Volt), temperature (e.g. 25 °C) and process characteristics;
- Best Case: The timing at the highest voltage (e.g. 5.5 Volt), lowest temperature (e.g. -55 °C) and fastest process characteristics.

The values of the timing parameters shall be specified in a separate package as deferred constants, allowing the values to be changed by only recompiling the package body. This package shall be named after the component name with the suffix *Timing* appended, as in *XYZ_Timing*. The data sheet timing parameter names shall be clearly indicated for each timing parameter.

The timing parameters shall be updated with accurate values after final layout and manufacture. The values shall be taken from the component data sheet. If all values are not available, the designer or manufacturer should be contacted for advice. The timing parameters shall be specified at an appropriate loading, which should be documented in the timing package, in the header for the top-level entity and in the User's Manual.

As a baseline, timing parameters should be given in an integer number of nanoseconds (*ns*) to avoid potential time limitations in some simulators, with values rounded in a pessimistic way.

The model shall allow timing check disabling, controlled by a generic parameter *TimingChecksOn* of type *Boolean* declared in the top-level entity declaration. When *TimingChecksOn* has the value *False* no timing checks shall be performed. The default value shall be *False*. The implementation shall ensure minimum simulation time penalty when timing checks are disabled.

The IEEE Std-1076.4 *Vital_Timing* package (see RD3) shall as far as possible be used for checking and reporting setup and hold times etc.

Timing parameters should use names compliant with IEEE Std-1076.4 (RD3), which in the future could allow back-annotation on the board-level to be performed using the Standard Delay File (SDF) format. Alternatively, the same names as in the data sheet should be used.

It is recommended to only report timing violations, and not to generate unknown values. In case generation of unknown values is implemented, a generic parameter *XGenerationOn* of type *Boolean* should be declared in the generic clause of the top-level entity. When *XGenerationOn* has the value *False* timing violations should not lead to unknown values being generated. The default value should be *False*.

It is not required to check timing violations for changes between similar logic levels (e.g. '0' and 'L', '1' and 'H'); to differentiate delays for falling and rising signals or to assign separate delay values for each element of a *Std_Logic_Vector*. Neither is it required to proportionally model loading, temperature, voltage, ageing or radiation impact on the timing parameters.

In case more detailed timing modelling is desired, such as differentiating delays for rising and falling edges, assigning separate delays for each element of a vector or providing wire-load delays for the inputs, it is recommended to be compliant with the requirements for a Vital level 0 model as defined in RD3. The same applies in case it is desired that the timing parameters appear in the generic clause of the top-level entity to allow easy modification of the timing on a per-instance basis.

3.2.5 Reporting

Since the purpose of this type of model is to verify a board design, it will be helpful to incorporate reporting for model functionality, in addition to the reporting of unknown values and timing handling described above. Such reporting includes the selected operating mode, model internal events and instruction disassembly.

To avoid unnecessary reporting, it must be possible to control the level of reporting from the model, for example using a generic *DebugLevel* of type *Natural*. A suggested grouping according to the value of *DebugLevel* is presented below:

- 0 Disabled, no functionality reporting (default value).
- 1 Selected operating mode, initialisation state.
- 2 Major events internal to the model, such as entering important states of a state machine, detection of errors and illegal data, processor interrupts and exceptions etc.
- 3 Instruction disassembly for processors, high-level transactions such as the message received and decoded by a protocol component.

If an unsupported or non-existing level is selected, this should be reported.

To avoid the unnecessary information often provided when using assertions, this type of reporting should preferably use *Std.TextIO.Output*, see section 2.7.

3.2.6 Verification

The verification shall be performed using a testbench allowing automated verification as described in section 3.4.1. The verification shall include assigning all nine values of the *Std_Logic* type to each input (including **inout** ports), and to produce timing violations on each input. For inputs of **array** type, such as *Std_Logic_Vector*, each element shall be regarded as a separate input.

3.3 Models for System-level simulation

The main purpose of a model for system-level simulation is to provide the functionality of a board, a subsystem, an algorithm or a protocol, with a simulation speed allowing related trade-offs to be performed. No similarity with any hardware is necessary, as long as the desired functionality is achieved. The behaviour may be approximated w.r.t. details such as timing aspects, exactly which clock cycle an event occurs, the exact numerical value of a result etc.

The model shall be coded for efficient simulation, not to slow down simulations. This implies that the number of entities, processes, signals and signal assignments shall be minimised, due to their negative impact on the simulation speed. Where possible, variables should be used instead of signals. Resolved signals should only be used when advantageous. By using types on higher abstraction levels – e.g. *Integer* instead of *Bit_Vector* – models with higher simulation speed will be obtained in most cases. It should be avoided to execute statements when not necessary.

The memory usage shall be optimised when necessary, e.g. when modelling devices, since otherwise simulation could be impossible due to the memory requirements of the simulator. One technique could be to divide the memory area into a number of blocks, which would be allocated only when used.

3.3.1 Model interface

The model interface should use the types most suitable for the intended usage of the model, be that *IEEE.Std_Logic_1164* types (e.g. if a electronic board is modelled) or more abstract types (e.g. if a protocol is modelled).

3.3.2 Verification

As a baseline the verification should be performed using a testbench allowing automated verification as described in section 3.4.1.

3.4 Testbenches

The purpose of a testbench is to verify the functionality of a developed model or package. A testbench shall be a distinct design unit separated from the model or package to be verified, placed in a design library separate from the model itself.

If the testbench incorporates models of components surrounding the model to be tested, they need only to incorporate functions and interfaces required to properly operate with the model under test; it is not necessary to develop complete VHDL models of them. If external stimuli or configuration data is required, it shall be implemented by reading an ASCII file using the *Std.TextIO* package in order to ensure portability.

Every testbench shall stop by itself when the test has been completed, in order to allow the verification to be done using a script, independently of the simulator used.

The root entity shall neither have port nor generic clauses, being potentially not portable. The testbench entity name should be constructed of the entity name of the design under test followed by *_Tb*, as in *XYZ_Tb*.

If several testbenches are used for the verification of a package or a model, no re-compilation shall be necessary in order to perform the complete verification. Neither shall it be necessary to copy any files (or create or modify links in the operating system) used by the testbenches or the model.

If several testbenches are used it is recommended to place the component declaration(s), some signal declarations etc. in a package instead of including them in each testbench. If global signals are placed in such a package, attention should be paid to avoid homonymic names.

3.4.1 Automated verification

All testbenches for models for Board-level simulation, for models for System-level simulation and for packages containing subprograms should allow automated verification to be performed. Automated verification allows a reduction of the future maintenance effort, such as verification of the model operation on a different simulator, platform or operating system. Since it enables fast and reliable verification of a model when modifications have been introduced, it is recommended for all types of models.

The verification of error messages and timing parameters can be difficult due to assertions, and may therefore be performed without using automated verification.

The recommended approach is to write testbenches that are self-checking, reporting success or failure for each sub-test. Alternatively, a testbench could write all values of the signals generated by the model together with time stamps to a text file, which could be verified separately for example by using the Unix *diff* utility. Care should be taken with non-portable issues of *Std.TextIO*, see section 2.5.

APPENDIX A: ABBREVIATIONS

ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
ASIC	Application Specific Integrated Circuit
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CENELEC	European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardisation
EMC	Electro-Magnetic Compatibility
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
ISO	International Standards Organisation
LSB	Least Significant Bit
MSB	Most Significant Bit
NFS	Network File System
PLA	Programmable Logic Array
QIC	Quarter Inch Cartridge
RTL	Register Transfer Logic
SDF	Standard Delay File
std	standard
VHDL	VHSIC Hardware Description Language
VHSIC	Very High Speed Integrated Circuit
VITAL	VHDL Initiative Towards ASIC Libraries

APPENDIX B: COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN VHDL-87 AND VHDL-93

Note: this appendix contains only a limited set of compatibility issues.

When a VHDL model is based on the VHDL-87 standard instead of the VHDL-93 standard, the code shall be written so as to require minimal modifications when updating to VHDL-93. As an example, the following identifiers shall not be used, being reserved words in VHDL-93:

group, impure, inertial, literal, postponed, pure, reject, rol, ror, shared, sla, sll, sra, srl, unaffected, xnor

The predefined attributes *'Behavior* and *'Structure* shall not be used, being removed from the VHDL-93 standard.

The constructs for handling files, including the *Std.TextIO* package, are different for VHDL-93 compared to VHDL-87. Therefore the code involving file handling shall be written considering a future update; these constructs should be concentrated to as few places in the code as possible, and clearly commented.

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF VHDL LINE COVERAGE

The line coverage of a model or package is an indicative measure of how well a model is exercised by a particular stimuli, i.e. it is a measure of the controllability of the stimuli. However, it gives no indication on whether or not the execution of a particular line had any effect on the model or the testbench, i.e. it does not indicate anything about the effective visibility of the lines.

The line coverage figure can therefore only be used for identifying that the verification is clearly inadequate, i.e. in the case when the coverage is less than 100%. A line coverage of 100% does thus not necessarily imply the adequate verification of a design unit.

The guidelines below shall be used for calculating the line coverage of a model or a package.

Only sequential and concurrent statements, excluding component instantiations and block statements, shall be counted as executable (empty lines, comments, declarations, specifications etc. shall not be counted).

Statements that cannot be removed but can be shown to be impossible to execute should be excluded. An example is the **others** choice in a State Machine decoder only covering states that can never be reached. Wherever possible such statements shall be associated with an assertion of severity level *Failure* reporting model failure.

Only statements executed by a testbench verifying the complete model or a package may be counted as executed; the coverage obtained when verifying a sub-component of the model shall be disregarded.

Only statements executed for the purpose of verifying the model versus the functional requirements may be counted as executed. Statements included to implement testability can nevertheless be counted, in case it can be shown that they are actually used. Example: If a Built-In Self Test function happens to execute certain statements in the model they should not be counted as executed, except for those included for the purpose of implementing and activating this Built-In Self Test functionality.

APPENDIX D: VHDL CODE EXAMPLES

This appendix is included as a guidance for VHDL model developers. In case of discrepancies, the requirements have precedence over the examples. The code is provided as is, no functionality is guaranteed.

D.1 VHDL constructs

This section contains code fragments of various VHDL constructs. It is not exhaustive, but contains a sufficient set of constructs to create most types of models. The code fragments have not necessarily been fully commented.

D.1.1 Entity declaration

```
entity ABC is
  generic(SimCondition: SimConditionType := WorstCase);

  port(
    Clk:      in  Bit;           -- Clock signal
    Reset_N: in  Bit;           -- Asynchronous Reset
    In1:      in  Bit;           -- Input 1
    In2:      in  Bit_Vector(1 downto 0); -- Input 2

    Out1:     out Bit_Vector(7 downto 0); -- Output, bit 0 is LSB
end ABC;
```

D.1.2 Architecture body

```
architecture RTL of ABC is

  -- Declarations, such as type declarations, constant declarations,
  -- subprograms, component declarations, signal declarations etc.

begin -- Architecture RTL of ABC

  -- Concurrent statements, e.g. processes, signal assignments and
  -- component instantiations

end RTL; -- Architecture RTL of ABC
```

D.1.3 Configuration declaration

This configuration configures the components used in the TMEncoder design, which is a board with ten components. The entity name *TMEncoder* and the architecture name *Project* is indicated in the configuration name.

```

configuration TMEncoder_Project_Conf of TMEncoder is

  for Structural
    for VCA0, VCA1, VCA2, VCA7: VCA
      use entity VCA_Lib.VCA(BoardLevel);
    end for;

    for SRAM0, SRAM1, SRAM2, SRAM7: SRAM
      use entity Mem_Lib.MA9264(BoardLevel);
    end for;

    for VCM1: VCM
      use entity VCM_Lib.VCM(BoardLevel);
    end for;

    for MA1916_1: MA1916
      use entity MA1916_Lib.MA1916(BoardLevel);
    end for;
  end for;

end TMEncoder_Project_Conf;

```

D.1.4 Package declaration

```

package TCSuiteDef is

  -- Declarations of (deferred) constants, types, files, subprograms,
  -- components etc. For example:

  subtype Byte is Bit_Vector(0 to 7); -- Bit 0 is MSB
  subtype Word16 is Bit_Vector(0 to 15); -- Bit 0 is MSB

  type ByteArray is array(Integer range <>) of Byte;
  type TailErrorType is (All15s, -- Normal Tail (55555...)
                        SingleFill, -- Single error+Fill bit
                        Double, -- Double error
                        DoubleFill); -- Double error+Fill bit

  constant CrcPoly: Bit_Vector := X"1021"; -- x16 + x12 + x5 + 1
  constant InitCrc: Bit_Vector := X"FFFF"; -- Init. to all ones

  -----
  -- The AddCrc function calculates the CCSDS CRC (syndrome x16 +
  -- x12 + x5 + 1, register initiated to all ones before each data)
  -- over an array of bytes, and appends the calculated CRC.
  -- Data is an unconstrained array of bytes, and the result is of
  -- the same type, with the length increased by 2 (for the CRC).
  -----
  function AddCrc(Data: ByteArray) return ByteArray;

  -- Description of subprogram function and parameters should go here
  procedure ADFrame(
    NR: Byte;
    Segment: inout ByteArray;
    signal TCOut: out Bit);

end TCSuiteDef;

```

D.1.5 Package body

```
package body TCSuiteDef is

  -- Declarations of subprograms, deferred constants etc. in the same
  -- order as they appeared in the package declaration.
  -- Also declaration of objects not visible outside the package body

end TCSuiteDef;
```

D.1.6 Component declaration

```
component ABC
  generic (SimCondition: SimConditionType := WorstCase);

  port(
    Clk:      in  Bit;           -- Clock signal
    Reset_N: in  Bit;           -- Asynchronous Reset
    In1:      in  Bit;           -- Input 1
    In2:      in  Bit_Vector(1 downto 0); -- Input 2

    Out1:     out Bit_Vector(7 downto 0)); -- Output
end component;
```

D.1.7 Component instantiation

In case all signals outside and inside the component have the same name, positional association could be used instead of named association.

```
U1: ABC
  generic map (SimCondition => BestCase)

  port map(
    Clk      => Clk,
    Reset_N  => Reset_N,
    In1      => DataIn1,
    In2      => BaudRate,

    Out1     => DataBusA);
```

D.1.8 Procedure declaration and body

```
-- Description of subprogram function and parameters
procedure ADFrame(
  NR:      Byte;
  Segment: inout ByteArray;
  signal TCOut: out Bit) is
begin
  -- Sequential statements

end ADFrame;
```


D.1.9 Function declaration and body

```

-----
-- The AddCrc function calculates the CCSDS CRC (syndrome  $x^{16} +$ 
--  $x^{12} + x^5 + 1$ , register initiated to all ones before each data)
-- over an array of bytes, and appends the calculated CRC.
-- Data is an unconstrained array of bytes, and the result is of
-- the same type, with the length increased by 2 (for the CRC sum).
-----
function AddCrc(Data: ByteArray) return ByteArray is
  variable Crc:      Word16 := InitCRC;
  variable Xor1:    Bit;
  variable Result:  ByteArray(0 to (Data'Length + 1));
begin
  -- Calculate the CRC over all the data
  EachByte: for i in Data'Range loop
    EachBit: for BitNo in Byte'Range loop
      Xor1 := Crc(0) xor Data(i)(BitNo);
      Crc := Crc(1 TO 15) & '0';          -- Shift left 1 bit
      if Xor1 = '1' then
        Crc := Crc xor CRCPoly;
      end if;
    end loop EachBit;
  end loop EachByte;

  -- Add the CRC after the data
  Result(0 to Result'High - 2) := Data;
  Result(Result'High - 1)      := Crc(0 to 7);
  Result(Result'High)          := Crc(8 to 15);

  return Result;
end AddCrc;

```

D.1.10 Signal assignment

```

Reset_N <= '0',
          '1' after 79 ns,
          '0' after 10491 ns,
          '1' after 10627 ns;

D      <= DOut      after Tpd_D when DEnable = '1' else
          "ZZZZZZZZ" after Tpd_D;

```

D.1.11 Process statement

```

-----
-- Process header
-----
SyncRxIn: process(Clk, Reset_N)          -- Rx synchroniser
begin
  if Reset_N = '0' then                 -- Asynchronous reset
    RxInSync <= '1';
  elsif Clk'Event and Clk = '1' then    -- Rising Clk edge
    RxInSync <= RxIn;
  end if;
end process SyncRxIn;

```

D.1.12 If statement

```

if RxInSync = '1' and RxReg(0) = '0' then -- Wait for start bit
  BaudCount := 0;
elsif (BaudRate = Baud1200 and BaudCount >= Count1200) or
  (BaudRate = Baud9600 and BaudCount >= Count9600) then
  BaudCount := 0;
else
  BaudCount := BaudCount + 1;
end if;

```

D.1.13 Case statement

```

case TailError is
  when SingleFill => -- Set filler bit
    Result := Data;
    Result(7)(7) := '1'; -- Set filler bit
  when Double | DoubleFill => -- Double error
    Result := InjectError(Data);
    if TailError = DoubleFill then
      Result(7)(7) := '1'; -- Set filler bit
    end if;

  when others => -- Normal tail seq.
    null; -- No action
end case;

```

D.1.14 Loop statement

```

EachByte: for i in Data'Range loop
  -- Statements to be executed in the loop
end loop EachByte;

```

D.1.15 Assertion statement

Note that when VHDL-93 has been fully introduced, the new predefined attribute *Instance_Name* should be used to report the full instantiation path.

```

assert (TestMode = '0')
  report InstancePath & ": Non-implemented test mode activated"
  severity Note;

```

D.2 Complete examples

D.2.1 RS-232 VHDL receiver

This example is representative for one module of a larger component (called *XYZ*). The model is synthesizable with a complexity of about 400 equivalent gates. It is however efficiently and concisely coded to be acceptable as a model for Board-level simulation though timing checks and output delays have not been modelled here.

```
-----
-- Design units : RS232_Receive(RTL) (Entity and architecture)
--
-- File name    : rs232_receive.vhd
--
-- Purpose      : The module receives a serial RS-232 bit stream. The
--               bit stream should contain 1 start bit ('0'), 8 data
--               bits and finally 2 stop bits ('1'). The baud rate
--               is selectable to 1200, 2400, 4800 or 9600. The last
--               received data is output in 8-bit parallel format.
--
-- Note         : This model can be synthesised by Synopsys VHDL
--               Compiler and Mentor AutoLogic VHDL.
--
-- Limitations  : The baud rates have been approximated in order to
--               allow a simpler implementation. A Clk frequency of
--               10 MHz is assumed.
--
-- Errors:      : Actually only receives 7 data bits; the eighth bit
--               is actually checked as if it was a stop bit.
--
-- Library      : XYZ_Lib
--
-- Dependencies : None
--
-- Naming       : Active low signals indicated by "_N", synchronised
-- convention    signals indicated by "Sync".
--
-- Author       : Peter Sinander
--               ESTEC Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division
--               P.O. Box 299
--               2200 AG Noordwijk
--               The Netherlands
--
-- Simulator    : Synopsys 3.0c, on Sun Sparcstation 10, SunOS 4.1.3
-----
-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0     PSI      4 Mar 96  New version
-- 2.0     PSI      10 May 96 Baudrate index changed to descending;
--                          Constants introduced for baud count;
--                          Header and comments modified.
-- 2.0a    PSI      15 Feb 97 Model error documented (no code change)
-----

entity RS232_Receive is
  port(
    Clk:      in  Bit;                -- Clock, nominal 10 MHz
    Reset_N:  in  Bit;                -- Asynchronous Reset
    RxIn:     in  Bit;                -- Serial data in
    BaudRate: in  Bit_Vector(1 downto 0); -- Bit rate selection

    RxOut:    out Bit_Vector(7 downto 0); -- Last received data,
end RS232_Receive;                  -- Bit 0 is LSB
```



```

begin
  if Reset_N = '0' then
    BaudCount := 0;
    Sample := '0';
    DelaySample := '0';
    RxReg := InitRxReg;
    RxOut <= X"00";
  elsif Clk'Event and Clk = '1' then
    -- Rising Clk edge
    -- Wait for RxInSync to be 0, i.e. the start bit in the
    -- serial input stream.
    if RxInSync = '1' and RxReg(0) = '0' then
      -- Waiting for the start bit; initialise values
      BaudCount := 0;
      Sample := '0';
      RxReg := InitRxReg;
    elsif (BaudRate = Baud1200 and BaudCount >= Count1200) or
           (BaudRate = Baud2400 and BaudCount >= Count2400) or
           (BaudRate = Baud4800 and BaudCount >= Count4800) or
           (BaudRate = Baud9600 and BaudCount >= Count9600) then
      -- The counter has reached half a bit period (assuming
      -- Clk at 10 MHz); reset counter and toggle the Sample
      -- signal (exact bit rates are 1220, 2441, 4882 & 9765)
      BaudCount := 0;
      Sample := not Sample;
    else -- RxInSync = '0' or RxReg(0) = '1'
      BaudCount := BaudCount + 1;
    end if;

    if Sample = '1' and DelaySample = '0' then
      -- Rising Sample edge; shift in one data bit
      RxReg := RxInSync & RxReg(9 downto 1);

      if RxReg(0)='0' and RxReg(8)='1' and RxReg(9)='1' then
        -- Last bit acquired, copy data to output if stop
        -- bits are both '1'
        RxOut <= RxReg(8 downto 1);
      end if;
    end if;

    -- Sample delayed one Clk
    DelaySample := Sample;
  end if;
end process RS232;

end RTL; ----- End of RS232_Receive(RTL) -----

```

D.2.2 VHDL model for Board-level simulation

This is an example showing the principle of a VHDL model for board-level simulation. All design units have been included, except the package defining the sub-programs for timing checks:

- ExampleDefinition: Defines constants, functions and conversion functions;
- ExampleTiming: Defines the timing parameters as deferred constants;
- ExampleCore: The functional core, written for high simulation efficiency (most of the code in one process), and with an interesting implementation of the reset functionality;
- Example: The top-level entity/architecture, with signal strength stripping and the timing implementation.

The margins have been extended in order to allow 80 characters per line.

```

-----
-- Design units : ExampleDefinition (Package declaration and body)
--
-- File name    : exampledefinition.vhd
--
-- Purpose      : Package defining constants and functions for the Example.
--                Defines constants and types for the functions as implemented
--                by the Example.
--                Defines conversion functions/procedures.
--
-- Limitations  : None
--
-- Errors:      : None known
--
-- Library      : Example_Lib
--
-- Dependencies : IEEE.Std_Logic_1164
--
-- Author       : Peter Sinander
--                ESTEC WS Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division
--                P.O. Box 299
--                2200 AG Noordwijk
--                The Netherlands
--
-- Simulator    : Synopsys v. 3.0c, on Sun Sparcstation 10, SunOS 4.1.3
-----
-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0      PSI      1 Sep 96  New version
-- 1.0a     PSI      15 Feb 97  Comments updated (no code change)
-----

library IEEE;
use IEEE.Std_Logic_1164.all;

package ExampleDefinition is
-----
-- Definition of common Std_ULogic vector sizes
-- Note: Bit 0 is the MSB
-----
subtype Std_Byte      is Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 7);
subtype Std_Word16    is Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 15);
subtype Std_Word32    is Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 31);

```

```

-----
-- Definition of the fixed part of the preamble
-----
constant FixPreamble:      Std_Word32 := To_StdULogicVector(X"89_AB_CD_EF");
-----
-- Constant fixed field of the preamble
-----
constant FixedField:      Std_ULogic_Vector := "00";
-----
-- Length of preamble
-- Position of the Line Count field after the preamble
-----
constant PreambleLen:     Integer := FixPreamble'Length + 8;
constant LineCountEnd:    Integer := PreambleLen + 8;
-----
-- Number Clk cycles for the Built In Self Test, BIST, and time after reset
-- when no BIST is running
-----
constant BistClks:        Integer := 16384;
constant NoBistClks:      Integer := 1;
-----
-- Number of entries in the memory. Definition of Mem type
-----
constant MemSize:         Integer := 255;
type      MemType is      array(0 to MemSize-1) of Integer range 0 to 255;
-----
-- Calculation of Valid and FSM lengths
-- Valid is 1200, 2400, 4800 or 9600 depending on Mode
-- FSM is same as Valid, plus a gap of 400 system clocks between data bursts
-- when LowSpeed is 1
-----
function CalcValidLength(Mode:      Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1))
                        return      Integer;

function CalcFSMLength (Mode:      Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1);
                        LowSpeed: Std_ULogic)
                        return      Integer;
-----
-- Converts Natural to Std_ULogic_Vector of length Len
-- Leftmost bit is most significant
-----
function To_StdULogicVector(I:      Natural;
                        Len:      Positive)
                        return Std_ULogic_Vector;
-----
-- Converts unsigned Std_ULogic_Vector to Natural
-- Leftmost bit is most significant
-- No warning for unknowns (U, X, W, Z, -), they are converted to 0
-- Verifies whether the vector is too long (> 31 bits)
-----
function To_Integer(V:      Std_ULogic_Vector)
                        return Natural;
-----
-- Wrap-around addition between two Std_ULogic_Vectors of the same length
-- Leftmost bit is most significant
-- Verifies whether both vectors have the same length
-----
function "+"(R, L: Std_ULogic_Vector)
                        return Std_ULogic_Vector;
end ExampleDefinition;

```

```
package body ExampleDefinition is
```

```
-----  
-- Calculation of Valid length  
-- Valid is 1200, 2400, 4800 or 9600 depending on Mode  
-----  
function CalcValidLength(Mode: Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1))  
    return Integer is  
begin  
    if Mode = "00" then  
        return 1200; -- Mode 0  
    elsif Mode = "01" then  
        return 2400; -- Mode 1  
    elsif Mode = "10" then  
        return 4800; -- Mode 2  
    else  
        -- Default value for unknowns as well as for 11  
        return 9600; -- Default mode  
    end if;  
end CalcValidLength;
```

```
-----  
-- Calculation of FSM length  
-- FSM is 1200, 2400, 4800 or 9600 depending on Mode, plus a gap of 400  
-- system clocks between data bursts when LowSpeed is 1  
-----  
function CalcFSMLength(Mode: Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1);  
    LowSpeed: Std_ULogic)  
    return Integer is  
begin  
    if LowSpeed = '0' then  
        -- Highest speed, no gap between data bursts, same as Valid length  
        if Mode = "00" then  
            return 1200; -- Mode 0  
        elsif Mode = "01" then  
            return 2400; -- Mode 1  
        elsif Mode = "10" then  
            return 4800; -- Mode 2  
        else  
            -- Default value for unknown Mode as well as for 11  
            return 9600; -- Default mode  
        end if;  
    else  
        -- Insert gap of 400 system clocks between data bursts  
        if Mode = "00" then  
            return 1600; -- Mode 0 + 400  
        elsif Mode = "01" then  
            return 2800; -- Mode 1 + 400  
        elsif Mode = "10" then  
            return 5200; -- Mode 2 + 400  
        else  
            -- Default value for unknown Mode as well as for 11  
            return 10000; -- Default mode + 400  
        end if;  
    end if;  
end CalcFSMLength;
```

```
-----  
-- Converts Natural to Std_ULogic_Vector of length Len  
-- Leftmost bit is most significant  
-----  
function To_StdULogicVector(I: Natural;  
    Len: Positive)  
    return Std_ULogic_Vector is  
variable Tmp: Integer;  
variable Result: Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to Len - 1);
```



```

begin
  Tmp := I;

  for j in Result'Reverse_Range loop
    if (Tmp mod 2) = 1 then
      Result(j) := '1';
    else
      Result(j) := '0';
    end if;
    Tmp := Tmp / 2;
  end loop;

  return Result;
end To_StdULogicVector;

```

```

-----
-- Converts unsigned Std_ULogic_Vector to Natural
-- Leftmost bit is most significant
-- No warning for unknowns (U, X, W, Z, -), they are converted to 0
-- Verifies whether vector is too long (> 31 bits)
-----

```

```

function To_Integer(V: Std_ULogic_Vector)
  return Natural is
  variable Result: Integer := 0;
begin
  assert V'Length <= 31
    report "Can not convert more than 31 bit Std_ULogic_Vectors"
    severity Failure;
  for i in V'Range loop
    Result := Result * 2;
    if (V(i) = '1') or (V(i) = 'H') then
      Result := Result + 1;
    end if;
  end loop;

  return Result;
end To_Integer;

```

```

-----
-- Wrap-around addition between two Std_ULogic_Vectors of the same length
-- Leftmost bit is most significant
-- Verifies whether both vectors have the same length
-----

```

```

function "+"(R, L: Std_ULogic_Vector)
  return Std_ULogic_Vector is
  variable Carry: Std_ULogic := '0';
  variable RTmp, LTmp, Result: Std_ULogic_Vector((R'Length - 1) downto 0);
begin
  assert R'Length = L'Length
    report "Vectors to be added are not of same length"
    severity Failure;

  RTmp := R; -- To get the range (MSB downto 0)
  LTmp := L; -- " "
  for i in 0 to RTmp'Length - 1 loop
    -- Calculate sum using carry from previous step, then carry out
    Result(i) := RTmp(i) xor LTmp(i) xor Carry;
    Carry := (RTmp(i) and LTmp(i)) or (RTmp(i) and Carry) or
             (LTmp(i) and Carry);
  end loop;
  return Result;
end "+";

```

```

end ExampleDefinition;

```

```

-----
-- Design units : ExampleTiming (Package declaration and body)
--
-- File name    : exampletiming.vhd
--
-- Purpose     : In this package, all timing parameters for the Example are
--               defined as deferred constants; their value can be modified
--               by recompiling only the package body and no other files.
--
-- Note        : The timing figures have been taken from the data sheet.
--               The timing figures are based on 50 pF load on the outputs.
--
-- Limitations : Best case and typical figures have been estimated.
--               Note that simulation with timing checks CANNOT replace
--               a worst case timing analysis.
--
-- Errors      : None known
--
-- Naming      : Names of timing parameters are compliant with SDF (Standard
--               Delay Format).
--
-- Library     : Example_Lib
--
-- Dependencies : ESA.Simulation (Note: to be replaced by an internationally
--               recognised version in the future)
--
-- Author      : Sandi Habinc, Peter Sinander
--               ESTEC WS Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division)
--               P. O. Box 299
--               2200 AG Noordwijk
--               The Netherlands
--
-- Simulator   : Synopsys v. 3.0c, on Sun Sparcstation 10, SunOS 4.1.3
-----

```

```

-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0      PSI      1 Sep 96  New version
-- 1.0a     PSI      15 Feb 97  Comments updated (no code change)
-----

```

```

library ESA;           -- To be replaced in the future
use ESA.Simulation.all; -- To be replaced in the future

```

```

package ExampleTiming is

```

```

-----
-- Deferred constants for the timing parameters, all values are defined in
-- the package body.
--
-- Test, Mode, LowSpeed, Code : not allowed to change while Reset_N is
-- de-asserted (checked in model).
--
-- Reset_N, CS_N de-asserted after write: timing requirement expressed in
-- number of clock cycles (checked in model).
-----

```

```

-- System signal timing parameters
constant tperiod_Clk:   TimeArray;   -- TCp
constant tpw_hi_min_Clk: TimeArray;   -- TCLo
constant tpw_lo_min_Clk: TimeArray;   -- TCHI

```

```

-- Mem interface timing parameters
constant tsetup_A_CS_N:   TimeArray;   -- T5
constant thold_A_CS_N:   TimeArray;   -- T6
constant tsetup_RW_N_CS_N: TimeArray;   -- T1
constant thold_RW_N_CS_N: TimeArray;   -- T2
constant tpw_lo_min_CS_N: TimeArray;   -- T3
constant tsetup_D_CS_N:  TimeArray;   -- T5
constant thold_D_CS_N:  TimeArray;   -- T6
constant tpd_CS_N_D:    TimeArray;   -- T7
constant tpd_CS_N_D_Z:  TimeArray;   -- T9
constant tpd_A_D:      TimeArray;   -- T8

-- Serial input interface timing parameters
constant tsetup_Clk_Ready: TimeArray;   -- T10
constant thold_Clk_Ready: TimeArray;   -- T11
constant tsetup_Clk_SIn:  TimeArray;   -- T12
constant thold_Clk_SIn:  TimeArray;   -- T13

-- Output interface timing parameters
constant tpd_Clk_SOut:   TimeArray;   -- T4
constant tpd_Clk_Valid: TimeArray;   -- T4
end ExampleTiming;

```

```
package body ExampleTiming is
```

```

-----
-- Deferred constants for the timing parameters, all values are defined in
-- the package body
--

```

```

-- Test, Mode, LowSpeed, Code : not allowed to change while Reset_N is
-- de-asserted (checked in model).
--

```

```

-- Definition of default timing parameter values with 50 pF load
-- The timing figures have been taken from the data sheet
-----

```

```

-- System signal timing parameters      WC      Typ      BC      Ref.
constant tperiod_Clk:   TimeArray := (80 ns, 66 ns, 50 ns); -- TCp
constant tpw_hi_min_Clk: TimeArray := (40 ns, 33 ns, 25 ns); -- TCL0
constant tpw_lo_min_Clk: TimeArray := (40 ns, 33 ns, 25 ns); -- TCHI

```

```

-- Mem interface timing parameters      WC      Typ      BC      Ref.
constant tsetup_A_CS_N:   TimeArray := (10 ns, 7 ns, 5 ns); -- T5
constant thold_A_CS_N:   TimeArray := (10 ns, 7 ns, 4 ns); -- T6
constant tsetup_RW_N_CS_N: TimeArray := ( 0 ns, 0 ns, 0 ns); -- T1
constant thold_RW_N_CS_N: TimeArray := ( 3 ns, 5 ns, 6 ns); -- T2
constant tpw_lo_min_CS_N: TimeArray := (50 ns, 40 ns, 30 ns); -- T3
constant tsetup_D_CS_N:  TimeArray := (10 ns, 7 ns, 5 ns); -- T5
constant thold_D_CS_N:  TimeArray := (10 ns, 7 ns, 4 ns); -- T6
constant tpd_CS_N_D:    TimeArray := (45 ns, 35 ns, 25 ns); -- T7
constant tpd_CS_N_D_Z:  TimeArray := (35 ns, 35 ns, 35 ns); -- T9
constant tpd_A_D:      TimeArray := (60 ns, 53 ns, 45 ns); -- T8

```

```

-- Serial input interface timing      WC      Typ      BC      Ref.
constant tsetup_Clk_Ready: TimeArray := ( 5 ns, 4 ns, 3 ns); -- T10
constant thold_Clk_Ready: TimeArray := (10 ns, 8 ns, 5 ns); -- T11
constant tsetup_Clk_SIn:  TimeArray := ( 5 ns, 4 ns, 3 ns); -- T12
constant thold_Clk_SIn:  TimeArray := (10 ns, 8 ns, 5 ns); -- T13

```

```

-- Output interface timing parameters  WC      Typ      BC      Ref.
constant tpd_Clk_SOut:   TimeArray := (30 ns, 22 ns, 15 ns); -- T5
constant tpd_Clk_Valid: TimeArray := (30 ns, 22 ns, 15 ns); -- T5
end ExampleTiming;

```

```

-----
-- Design units : ExampleCore(FunctionalCore) (Entity and architecture)
--
-- File name      : examplecore.vhd
--
-- Purpose       : This is the functional core of an example VHDL model called
--                Example. The core implements all the functionality, except
--                the multiplexing of the data bus D which is performed in the
--                top-level architecture.
--
-- Note          : All timing, checking and conversion of logical values are
--                performed in the top-level architecture.
--                X-propagation is implemented for the SIn and Code inputs, but
--                not for data written to the parallel interface.
--
--                The functionality does not represent an existing component.
--
--                The model is intended for efficient simulation at board level
--                and is not synthesizable.
--
--                Since no real function is modelled, the comments have
--                sometimes been reduced.
--
-- Limitations   : BIST internal function not modelled, only the resulting delay
--                after reset. Manufacturing test not modelled.
--
-- Errors:       : None known (model not verified)
--
-- Naming        : Active low signals are indicated by _N.
-- convention     : All external signals have been named as in the data sheet.
--
-- Library       : Example_Lib
--
-- Dependencies  : IEEE.Std_Logic_1164,
--                Example_Lib.ExampleDefinition
--
-- Author        : Peter Sinander
--                ESTEC WS Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division
--                P. O. Box 299
--                2200 AG Noordwijk
--                The Netherlands
--
-- Simulator     : Synopsys v. 3.0c, on Sun Sparcstation 10, SunOS 4.1.3

```

```

-----
-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0      PSI      1 Sep 96  New version
-- 1.0a     PSI      15 Feb 97  Comments updated (no code change)
-----

```

```

library IEEE;
use IEEE.Std_Logic_1164.all;

```

```

library Example_Lib;
use Example_Lib.ExampleDefinition.all;

```

```

entity ExampleCore is

```

```

  port (

```

```

    -- System signals
    Test0:      in      Std_ULogic;          -- 0 to activate BIST
    Clk:        in      Std_ULogic;          -- System clock
    Reset_N:    in      Std_ULogic;          -- System async reset

```

```

    -- Mode pins for selecting the operation + static fields
    Mode:       in      Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1); -- Selects mode
    LowSpeed:   in      Std_ULogic;          -- Lower speed when 1
    Code:       in      Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 5); -- Code input 6 bits

```

```

-- Parallel interface
A:          in      Std_Byte;          -- Address bus
CS_N:       in      Std_ULogic;       -- Chip select, act. low
RW_N:       in      Std_ULogic;       -- Read/write, read = 1
D:          in      Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 7); -- Data bus in
DOut:       out     Integer range 0 to 255; -- Data bus output
DEnable:    out     Boolean;          -- Data bus enable

-- Serial input interface
Ready:      in      Std_ULogic;       -- Data input ready
SIn:        in      Std_ULogic;       -- Serial input data

-- Resulting serial output and valid strobe
SOut:       out     Std_ULogic;       -- Serial data output
Valid:      out     Std_ULogic;       -- 1 when output valid
end ExampleCore;

----- ARCHITECTURE -----

architecture FunctionalCore of ExampleCore is
  signal ValidLen:      Integer range 0 to 9600;          -- Valid FSM states
  signal EndOfFSM:     Integer range 0 to 10000;         -- Where the FSM ends
  signal Preamble:     Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to PreambleLen-1); -- Concat preamble
  signal MainReset:    Boolean := True;                 -- Reset or BIST

  signal DWrite:       Integer range 0 to 255;          -- Memory data to write
  signal AWrite:       Integer range 0 to 255;          -- Address to write data
  signal WStrobe:      Std_ULogic;                     -- Async. write strobe

begin ----- Architecture FunctionalCore of ExampleCore -----

  -----
  -- Calculation of valid and FSM lengths
  -----
  ValidLen  <= CalcValidLength(Mode);
  EndOfFSM  <= CalcFSMLength(Mode, LowSpeed) - 1;

  -----
  -- Generation of preamble part that seldom changes
  -----
  Preamble <= FixPreamble & FixedField & Code;

  -----
  -- Implementation of all functionality driven by Clk, i.e. ...
  -- (Here a full description should normally be placed)
  -- Note that the Reset signal is synchronised, and is therefore not included
  -- in the sensitivity list.
  -- Inclusion of events on the A address signal in order to synchronise
  -- data and address from the asynchronous memory interface.
  -----
  ClkRegion: process(Clk, A)
    variable Reset1_N: Std_ULogic := '1';          -- Synchronised reset
    variable Reset2_N: Std_ULogic := '1';          -- Synchronised reset
    variable BistCount: Integer range -1 to BistClks := -1; -- No init = -1

    variable FSMCount: Integer range 0 to 10000;   -- Which bit of FSM
    variable LineCount: Std_Byte;                 -- Line Counter
    variable DataOut: Std_ULogic;                 -- Serial data output

    variable DelayedSIn: Std_ULogic;              -- Registered Sin bit

    variable MemData: Integer range 0 to 255;     -- Data read from Mem
    variable Mem: MemType;                        -- 256*8 bit memory
    variable A_Integer: Integer range 0 to 255;   -- A in integer format
    variable AWrite1: Integer range 0 to 255;     -- Delayed write address
    variable DWrite1: Integer range 0 to 255;     -- Delayed Mem write data
    variable AWrite2: Integer range 0 to 255;     -- Delayed write address
    variable DWrite2: Integer range 0 to 255;     -- Delayed Mem write data

```

```

begin
  if Falling_Edge(Clk) then                                     -- Falling Clk edge

-----
-- Code dealing with the Reset initialization
-----
-- Delay 2 Clk of Reset_N due to synchronisation
Reset2_N := Reset1_N;
Reset1_N := Reset_N;

  if Reset2_N = '0' then                                       -- Reset the Example
    -- Select delay for BIST or for no BIST
    if Test0 = '1' then
      BistCount := NoBistClks;                                  -- BIST disabled
    else
      BistCount := BistClks;
    end if;

    FSMCount      := 0;
    LineCount     := "00000000";

    DelayedSIn   := '0';
    AWrite1      := 0;
    DWrite1      := 0;
    AWrite2      := 0;
    DWrite2      := 0;
    Mem           := (others => 0);                             -- Initialise memory
    DOut         <= Mem(A_Integer);

    -- Output values at reset
    SOut         <= '0';
    Valid        <= '0';

-----
-- Normal operation after reset and BIST (if enabled)
-----
  elsif (BistCount = 0) then
-----
    -- The serial data output, containing of the Preamble, the line
    -- count and the serial input data SIn
    if FSMCount < LineCountEnd then
      -- Optimised if-structure to execute only when necessary
      if FSMCount < PreambleLen then                          -- Sync. Mark +
        DataOut := Preamble(FSMCount);                        -- Preamble bytes
      else                                                     -- Line Counter byte
        DataOut := LineCount(FSMCount mod 8);
      end if;

      elsif FSMCount < ValidLen then                          -- Output data from SIn
        DataOut := DelayedSIn;
      else                                                     -- Reed-Solomon codes
        DataOut := '0';
      end if;

-----
    -- Generation of SOut
    -- Generation of Valid; '1' while the input data is being output
    -- if the data input is ready (i.e. Ready = '1')
    SOut <= DataOut;
    if FSMCount < FixPreamble'Length then                    -- Output invalid
      Valid <= '0';
    else
      if FSMCount = FixPreamble'Length then
        Valid <= Ready;
      elsif FSMCount = ValidLen then
        Valid <= '0';
      end if;
    end if;
  end if;

```

```
-----
-- Writing of data into the Mem; delayed 2.5 Clk cycles for
-- synchronisation reasons (first delay on rising Clk edge)
-- Change DOut in case the corresponding Mem data was changed
Mem(AWrite2) := DWrite2;
AWrite2      := AWrite1;
DWrite2      := DWrite1;
DOut         <= Mem(A_Integer);

-----

-- Delay of SIn with 1 Clock cycle (it was registered in order
-- to reduce the setup time)
DelayedSIn := SIn;

-----

-- Implementation of FSM counter (for FSM) and Line Counter
if FSMCount < EndOfFSM then
  -- Increment bit counter
  FSMCount := FSMCount + 1;
else
  -- End of FSM reached: reset FSM counter & increment Line Count
  FSMCount := 0;
  LineCount := LineCount + "00000001";
end if;

-----

-- Model Bist delay. In case Reset has never been asserted,
-- BistCount = -1, and no action will take place
-----
elsif BistCount > 0 then
  BistCount := BistCount - 1;

  -- Release MainReset when the BIST has completed
  -- Prepare Reset1_N & Reset2_N for the next reset
  if BistCount = 0 then
    MainReset <= False;
    Reset1_N := '1';
    Reset2_N := '1';
  end if;
end if;

-----

-- First latching of parallel interface address & data on Rising Clk edge
-----
elsif Rising_Edge(Clk) then
  AWrite1 := AWrite;
  DWrite1 := DWrite;
end if;

-----

-- Output parallel data on internal bus whenever the address changes
-- Only convert A to integer when it changes (used elsewhere in process)
-----
if A'Event then
  A_Integer := To_Integer(A);
  DOut      <= Mem(A_Integer);
end if;
end process ClkRegion;
```

```
-----  
-- Latching of address & data for the parallel interface  
-- Generation of external data bus enable  
-----  
-- Data and address to be written is latched on the rising edge of WStrobe  
WStrobe <= CS_N or RW_N;  
  
WriteMem: process(WStrobe, MainReset)  
begin  
  if MainReset then  
    AWrite <= 0;  
    DWrite <= 0;  
  elsif WStrobe'Event and WStrobe = '1' then  
    AWrite <= To_Integer(A);  
    DWrite <= To_Integer(To_StdULogicVector(D));  
  end if;  
end process WriteMem;  
  
-- Enabled for read cycles when not Reset  
DEnable <= (Reset_N = '1') and (RW_N = '1') and (CS_N = '0');  
  
end FunctionalCore; ----- End of ExampleCore(FunctionalCore) -----
```



```

-----
-- Design units : Example(BoardLevel) (Entity and architecture)
--
-- File name    : example.vhd
--
-- Purpose     : This is an example VHDL model called Example. For a real
--               model the functionality should be described here, together
--               with a reference to the applicable data sheet.
--
-- Note       : Selection of Worst Case, Typical or Best Case timing
--               is performed by changing the SimCondition generic.
--
--               X-propagation is implemented for the Code and SIn inputs, but
--               not for data written to the parallel interface.
--
--               Timing violations will not lead to unknown being generated.
--
--               The model is intended for efficient simulation at board level
--               and is not synthesizable.
--
-- Limitations : BIST internal function not modelled, only the resulting delay
--               after reset. Manufacturing test not modelled.
--
--               Do not use timing modelling to replace worst case timing
--               analysis; the timing modelling is not always accurate.
--
-- Errors:     : Timing and X checks have not been implemented for all inputs.
--
-- Naming     : Active low signals are indicated by _N.
-- convention  All external signals have been named as in the data sheet.
--               Internal, strength converted signals are named after their
--               new strength, for example _X01. Internal signals without
--               output delay are indicated by _NoTime.
--
-- Library    : Example_Lib
--
-- Dependencies : IEEE.Std_Logic_1164
--               IEEE.Vital_Timing
--               ESA.Simulation
--               Example_Lib.ExampleCore
--               Example_Lib.ExampleDefinition
--               Example_Lib.ExampleTiming
--
-- Author     : Sandi Habinc, Peter Sinander
--               ESTEC WS Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division
--               P. O. Box 299
--               2200 AG Noordwijk
--               The Netherlands
--
-- Simulator  : Synopsys v. 3.0c, on Sun Sparcstation 10, SunOS 4.1.3
-----

```

```

-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0     PSI     1 Sep 96  New version
-- 2.0     PSI     15 Feb 97  Updated to Vital'95, comments updated
-----

```

```

library IEEE;
use IEEE.Std_Logic_1164.all;           -- For signal types

library ESA;
use ESA.Simulation.all;              -- To be replaced
                                        -- For simulation mode

entity Example is
  generic(
    SimCondition:  SimConditionType := WorstCase;  -- Simulation condition
    InstancePath:  String           := "Example:";  -- For Assertions
    TimingChecksOn: Boolean         := False);     -- Timing disabling

```

```

port (
  -- System signals (4)
  Test:      in    Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 1);      -- Test inputs
  Clk:       in    Std_Logic;                      -- System clock
  Reset_N:   in    Std_Logic;                      -- System async reset

  -- Mode pins for selecting the operation + static fields (9)
  Mode:      in    Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 1);      -- Selects mode
  LowSpeed:  in    Std_Logic;                      -- Lower speed when 1
  Code:      in    Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 5);      -- Code input 6 bits

  -- Parallel interface (18)
  A:         in    Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 7);      -- Address bus
  CS_N:      in    Std_Logic;                      -- Chip select, act. low
  RW_N:      in    Std_Logic;                      -- Read/write, read = 1
  D:         inout Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 7);      -- Data bus

  -- Serial input interface (2)
  Ready:     in    Std_Logic;                      -- Data input ready
  SIn:       in    Std_Logic;                      -- Serial input data

  -- Resulting serial output and valid strobe (2)
  SOut:      out   Std_Logic;                      -- Serial data output
  Valid:     out   Std_Logic;                      -- 1 when output valid
end Example;

----- ARCHITECTURE -----

library IEEE;
use IEEE.Vital_Timing.all;                        -- For timing checks

library Example_Lib;
use Example_Lib.ExampleDefinition.all;           -- For functions
use Example_Lib.ExampleTiming.all;              -- For timing parameters

architecture BoardLevel of Example is
  -----
  -- Component declaration
  -----
  component ExampleCore
    port (
      -- System signals
      Test0:    in    Std_ULogic;                  -- 0 to activate BIST
      Clk:       in    Std_ULogic;                 -- System clock
      Reset_N:   in    Std_ULogic;                 -- System async reset

      -- Mode pins for selecting the operation + static fields
      Mode:      in    Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1);  -- Selects mode
      LowSpeed:  in    Std_ULogic;                 -- Lower speed when 1
      Code:      in    Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 5);  -- Code input 6 bits

      -- Parallel interface
      A:         in    Std_Byte;                    -- Address bus
      CS_N:      in    Std_ULogic;                 -- Chip select, act. low
      RW_N:      in    Std_ULogic;                 -- Read/write, read = 1
      D:         in    Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 7);   -- Data bus in
      DOut:      out   Integer range 0 to 255;    -- Data bus output
      DEnable:   out   Boolean;                     -- Data bus enable

      -- Serial input interface
      Ready:     in    Std_ULogic;                 -- Data input ready
      SIn:       in    Std_ULogic;                 -- Serial input data

      -- Resulting serial output and valid strobe
      SOut:      out   Std_ULogic;                 -- Serial data output
      Valid:     out   Std_ULogic;                 -- 1 when output valid
    end component;
  end architecture BoardLevel of Example is

```

```
-----
-- Local signal declarations, for input strength conversion, output signals
-- without delay, signals for the data bus control and timing check enabling
-----
```

```

signal Test0_X01:      Std_ULogic;           -- 0 to activate BIST
signal Clk_X01:       Std_ULogic;           -- System clock
signal Reset_N_X01:  Std_ULogic;           -- System async reset
signal Mode_X01:     Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 1); -- Selects mode
signal LowSpeed_X01: Std_ULogic;           -- Lower speed when 1
signal Code_X01:     Std_ULogic_Vector(0 to 5); -- Code input 6 bits

signal A_X01:        Std_Byte;             -- Address bus
signal CS_N_X01:    Std_ULogic;           -- Chip select, act. low
signal RW_N_X01:    Std_ULogic;           -- Read/write, read = 1
signal D_X01:       Std_Logic_Vector(0 to 7); -- Input data
signal DOut:        Integer range 0 to 255; -- Data bus output
signal DOutDelayed: Integer range 0 to 255; -- D delayed wrt address
signal DEnable:     Boolean;              -- Data bus enable
signal DEnDelayed:  Boolean;              -- Enable delayed wrt CS

signal Ready_X01:   Std_ULogic;           -- Data input ready
signal SIn_X01:     Std_ULogic;           -- Serial input data
signal SOut_NoTime: Std_ULogic;           -- Serial data output
signal Valid_NoTime: Std_ULogic;         -- 1 when output valid
signal AfterReset:  Boolean;              -- True after reset

```

```
begin ----- Architecture BoardLevel of Example -----
```

```
-----
-- Strength stripping to X01 using the Std_Logic_1164 provided routines
-----
```

```

Test0_X01    <= To_X01(Test(0));
Clk_X01     <= To_X01(Clk);
Reset_N_X01  <= To_X01(Reset_N);
Mode_X01    <= To_StdULogicVector(To_X01(Mode));
LowSpeed_X01 <= To_X01(LowSpeed);
Code_X01    <= To_StdULogicVector(To_X01(Code));
A_X01       <= To_StdULogicVector(To_X01(A));
CS_N_X01    <= To_X01(CS_N);
RW_N_X01    <= To_X01(RW_N);
D_X01       <= To_X01(D);
Ready_X01   <= To_X01(Ready);
SIn_X01     <= To_X01(SIn);

```

```
-----
-- Check for unknown values on the static inputs, and that they only change
-- during reset). Check for unknown values on Reset_N.
-- Activating production test and changing the code inputs do not change the
-- state of the model, and have therefore severity level Note resp. Warning.
-----
```

```
CheckStaticInputs: process(Reset_N_X01, Mode_X01, LowSpeed_X01, Code_X01)
```

```
begin
```

```
  if (Now /= 0 ns) and (Reset_N_X01 = '1') then
```

```
    -- No assertions at start-up or when Reset is asserted
```

```
    assert not Is_X(Test)
```

```
      report InstancePath & " 'X' on Test inputs" severity Error;
```

```
    assert (Test(1) = '0')
```

```
      report InstancePath & " Prod. test not modelled" severity Note;
```

```
    assert not Is_X(Mode_X01)
```

```
      report InstancePath & " 'X' on Mode input" severity Error;
```

```
    assert LowSpeed_X01 /= 'X'
```

```
      report InstancePath & " 'X' on LowSpeed input" severity Error;
```

```
    assert not Is_X(Code_X01)
```

```
      report InstancePath & " 'X' on Code inputs" severity Warning;
```



```

-- SIn setup & hold wrt Clk (T12, T13); does not affect state => Warning
VitalSetupHoldCheck( TestSignal    => SIn_X01,
                     TestSignalName => "SIn",
                     RefSignal      => Clk_X01,
                     RefSignalName  => "Clk",
                     RefTransition  => 'F',
                     SetupHigh      => tsetup_Clk_SIn(SimCondition),
                     SetupLow       => tsetup_Clk_SIn(SimCondition),
                     HoldHigh       => thold_Clk_SIn (SimCondition),
                     HoldLow        => thold_Clk_SIn (SimCondition),
                     CheckEnabled   => AfterReset,
                     HeaderMsg     => InstancePath,
                     MsgSeverity    => Warning,
                     TimingData     => VPD_SIn,
                     Violation      => Violation);

```

```

end process TimingCheck;

```

```

-----
-- Assignment of output delays.
-----

```

```

SOut      <= SOut_NoTime      after tpd_Clk_SOut(SimCondition);
Valid     <= Valid_NoTime    after tpd_Clk_Valid(SimCondition);

```

```

-- Generation of the tristate or drive of the external Data bus.

```

```

-- DOut delayed wrt the address

```

```

-- DEnable delayed, with different timing for tristating

```

```

DOutDelayed <= transport DOut      after tpd_A_D(SimCondition);

```

```

DEnDelayed  <= transport DEnable after tpd_CS_N_D(SimCondition)

```

```

when DEnable else

```

```

    DEnable after tpd_CS_N_D_Z(SimCondition);

```

```

D          <= To_StdLogicVector(To_StdULogicVector(DOutDelayed, 8))

```

```

when DEnDelayed else

```

```

    "ZZZZZZZZ";

```

```

-----
-- Instantiation of the ExampleCore modelling the functionality
-----

```

```

ExampleCore1: ExampleCore

```

```

port map (

```

```

    Test0    => Test0_X01,

```

```

    Clk      => Clk_X01,

```

```

    Reset_N  => Reset_N_X01,

```

```

    Mode     => Mode_X01,

```

```

    LowSpeed => LowSpeed_X01,

```

```

    Code     => Code_X01,

```

```

    A        => A_X01,

```

```

    CS_N     => CS_N_X01,

```

```

    RW_N     => RW_N_X01,

```

```

    D        => D_X01,

```

```

    DOut     => DOut,

```

```

    DEnable  => DEnable,

```

```

    Ready    => Ready_X01,

```

```

    SIn      => SIn_X01,

```

```

    SOut     => SOut_NoTime,

```

```

    Valid    => Valid_NoTime);

```

```

end BoardLevel; ----- End of Example(BoardLevel) -----

```

APPENDIX E: SELECTION OF SIMULATION CONDITION

In order to achieve a common interface for all VHDL models intended for Board-level simulation, the package below has been created, ensuring a similar interface for VHDL models for Board-level simulation.

In the long term, this package should be replaced with a version approved by an international organisation, such as CENELEC, IEC and IEEE. This package is a temporary solution until an internationally recognised package exists.

```
-----
-- Design unit   : Simulation (Package declaration)
--
-- File name     : simulation.vhd
--
-- Purpose      : Defines the enumerated type SimConditionType,
--               to be used to select Worst, Typical or Best Case
--               values for timing parameters in VHDL models for
--               board-level simulation.
--
--               The simulation condition will normally be selected
--               by a generic parameter in the top-level entity
--
-- Note         : A type TimeArray has been defined, which can be used
--               for defining the timing parameters.
--
-- Errors:      : None known
--
-- Library      : ESA
--
-- Dependencies  : None
--
-- Author       : Sandi Habinc, Peter Sinander
--               ESTEC Spacecraft Control and Data Systems Division
--               P.O. Box 299
--               2200 AG Noordwijk
--               The Netherlands
--
-----
-- Revision list
-- Version Author Date      Changes
--
-- 1.0     PSI      1 Sep 94  New version
-----

package Simulation is

    -- Definition of the SimConditionType type
    type SimConditionType is (WorstCase, TypCase, BestCase);

    -- Definition of Time array type which can be used for the timing
    -- parameters
    type TimeArray is array(SimConditionType) of Time;

end Simulation; ----- End of package Simulation -----
```